Study on Estimation for Impact of CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Reduction under the Policies Considered the Change of Transportation Network and Land Use -Case Study of Niigata, Japan-

<u>Hiroki KIKUCHI</u> Graduate School of Nihon University Atsushi FUKUDA Nihon University

Tetsuhiro ISHIZAKA Nihon University

## Background

- To realize Low Carbon City, we have to create strategic roadmaps relating to land use and transportation sector
- We have to deal with not only transport measures but also land use measures

#### However....

- Really difficult to measure which strategic roadmaps to employ efficiently
- Most studies, timing to implement each policy or countermeasure cannot be considered to develop the roadmaps dynamically
- The MARS model is one of the SD models, it cannot be considered the change of transportation network to estimate of CO<sub>2</sub> emission

#### Purpose

 Modifying the MARS model to deal with detail condition of the network, and estimation of CO<sub>2</sub> emission under introducing policy scenarios for realizing low carbon society





## **MARS** and its Modification

- MARS: Metropolitan Activity Relocation Simulator
- The model is consisted of transport and land use sub-models dynamically

$$N_{j}^{m}(t) = P^{m}(t) * \frac{a^{mv} * e^{b^{mv} *^{WP}Acc_{j}^{P}(t) + c^{mv} *ShGr_{j}(t) + d^{mv} *R_{j}^{D}(t)}}{\sum_{j} a^{mv} * e^{b^{mv} *^{WP}Acc_{j}^{P}(t) + c^{mv} *ShGr_{j}(t) + d^{mv} *R_{j}^{D}(t)}}$$

$$Dvnamically Estimation$$

 $N_j^{mv}(t)$ : No. of residents moving from zone j in the year t,  $P^{mv}(t)$ : Potential of moving residents in the year t,  ${}^{WP}Acc_j^{PC}(t)$ : Accessibility of working places by car from zone j in the year t,  $ShGr_j(t)$ : Share of green land in zone j in the year t,  $R_j^D(t)$ : Monthly rent or mortgage for a domicile in zone j in the year t

- In this study, to modify the MARS model can be considered with real transportation network
   Base Year 2002
- The improved MARS model can be considered with the widening of road and the new construction of BRT for dealing with travel behavior on the real transportation network



## **Case Study**

- Case Study: Niigata Urban Area (Consist of Niigata, Shibata, Agano, Gosen, Sanjyo, Tsubame, Tainai, Seiro)
- Reason for selecting: The number of population is still decreasing, but the number of vehicles are gradually increasing and residential area was spread to suburbs



## **Setting Policy Scenarios**

- Setting policies
  - 1) Change of the Transportation Network every 10 years
  - 2) Improvement of the headway for rail
    - ex. Changed from 15 min. to 7.5 min. [peak hour]
  - 3) Introducing Urban Consolidation (UC) policy
    - $\Rightarrow$ Population is aggregated to CBD area from suburbs (3 %/year)
    - $\Rightarrow$ Timing of the introducing policy (year: 2002, 2012, 2022)
- Setting scenarios
  - $\Rightarrow$ 4 scenarios were set to consider with some policies

Scenarios	1) Network	2) Headway	3) UC (Year)
BAU Scenario	0	_	_
Scenario A	0	0	O (2002)
Scenario B	0	0	O (2012)
Scenario C	0	0	O (2022)

## **CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Estimation**

- The equations used to estimate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions is as follows (Matsuhashi et al., 2004)
- Car and Motorcycle

 $E_{j} = N_{j} \times V_{j} \times e_{j}$ 

*j*: Mode of transportation,  $E_j$ : CO<sub>2</sub> emission,  $N_j$ : Number of vehicles/motorcycles,  $V_i$ : Vehicle kilometer travels,  $e_j$ : CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor

• Rail and Bus

$$E_{j} = \sum \left( P_{j} \times e_{j} \right)$$

 $P_j$ : Passenger kilometer

• The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions factor (the Ministry of the Environment, Japan)

Mode	Car	Motorcycle	Bus	Rail
CO <sub>2</sub> Emission Factor	0.175	0.104	0.053	0.019
[kg-CO <sub>2</sub> per capita · km]				

## **CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Estimation**

- The results of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions after implementing each policy scenario
- The estimated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of each scenarios in 2032



Scenario of max. reduction: <u>Scenario A</u>

⇒Included as Network Chang, TOD Policy from 2002, and Headway Change

## Conclusion

- When 5 scenarios had set, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was estimated from 2002 to 2032
- For the results, Scenario E of introduction of urban consolidation policy with improvement of headway for rail could reduce max. 30.5% of CO<sub>2</sub> emission compared with base line



- The results of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction, the introduction urban consolidation policy with improvement of headway time could evaluate for high impacts to realize low carbon society
- In further studies: necessary to estimate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction when the transport network is changing in order to evaluate the impacts of introducing policies where commercial land, and work places are consolidated.

# Thank you for your attention

### Have any questions?

